Aryl Radical Cyclizations onto Enamine Double Bonds

Stephen A. Glover*

Chemistry Department, University of New England, Armidale, N.S.W., 2358, Australia

John Warkentin

Chemistry Department, McMaster University, Hamilton, Ontario, Canada

Received September 18, 1992

Aryl radicals from N-alkyl-N-(2-bromobenzyl)-1-cyclohexenylamine 2 and N-alkyl-N-(2-bromobenzyl)cyclopentenylamine 11 cyclize readily onto the enamine double bond by 6-endo and 5-exo closure. In the case of 2, 6-endo cyclization is the major pathway; however, the 6-endo to 5-exo ratio is dependent upon the N-alkyl substituent. In both cases, the dominant isomer from 6-endo cyclization is the cis isomer. For 2a in toluene, values of k_{6-endo} and k_{5-exo} at 80 °C were 4.6 × 10⁸ s⁻¹ and 1.5 × 10⁸ s⁻¹, respectively.

Introduction

Radical cyclizations onto unsaturated systems have become widely used in synthetic chemistry, and the scope and limitations of such reactions have been the subject of recent reviews.¹ They proceed usually with high regiospecificity and often with good stereoselectivity. In particular, the cyclizations onto olefins are well understood, and ring closure in 5-hexenyl radicals and their hetero analogues proceeds in good conversions and yields the corresponding cyclopentylmethyl radicals as a rule.

Recently, Warkentin and Tomaszewski have studied the analogous cyclizations onto imine double bonds.² The results are strikingly different in that aryl radical cyclization to form five- and six-membered rings occurs at the imine carbon in preference to the nitrogen, and it is this rule which determines the regioselectivity of such cyclizations in spite of the fact that an aminoalkyl radical is resonance stabilized and the alternative aminyl radical is not. This cyclization may be under molecular orbital control in that the atom with largest coefficient in the LUMO is carbon.³

Enamines are another interesting class of substrates in which cyclization onto the α - and β -carbons will yield product radicals of quite disparate stabilities, and we were interested in whether radical stabilization would favor β -attack or, alternatively, whether conventional stereoelectronic effects controlling cyclizations onto simple olefins would prevail. To our knowledge, a limited number of radical cyclizations onto enamine double bonds have been reported previously but these were components of synthetic sequences and involved conjugated enamines.⁴ No cyclizations onto simple enamines have been carried out to date. Russell has reported intermolecular radical



additions to enamines.⁵ Accordingly, we have synthesized 2 and have investigated the selectivity of the aryl radicals (3) with use of the well-known reductive tin hydride reaction system (Scheme I).

Results and Discussion

Enamines 2a-c were formed from cyclohexanone and the corresponding N-alkyl-2-bromobenzylamines 1a-c by refluxing in toluene with a small amount of p-toluenesulfonic acid as catalyst. Water was collected in the conventional way but in no case could the reaction be driven to completion, and cyclizations were carried out on mixtures of cyclizeable enamine (2) and amine (1). A 3:1 mixture of N-butylenamine 2a was achieved after ca. 4 days under reflux whereas the N-methylamine 1c gave the same result in half the time. Furthermore, the enamines were exceptionally moisture sensitive. We attribute these facts to the considerable bulkiness of the o-bromobenzyl substituent at nitrogen, which must contribute to a large, negative reaction entropy, and to mild endothermicity.

Progress of enamine formation was monitored by ¹H NMR spectroscopy of the neat reaction mixture using presaturation of the toluene methyl resonance. For the reaction of 1a with cyclohexanone, the presence of enamine was evidenced by a 0.44 ppm downfield shift of the benzylic

⁽¹⁾ Neumann, W. P. Synthesis 1987, 665. Curran, D. P. Synthesis 1988, 417 and 489. Giese, B. Radicals in Organic Synthesis: Formation of Carbon-Carbon Bonds; Pergamon Press: Oxford, 1986.

⁽²⁾ Warkentin, J.; Tomaszewski, M. J. Tetrahedron Lett. 1992, 33, 2123.

⁽³⁾ Since the π^* as well as the π orbital are lower in energy than corresponding olefinic orbitals, it is expected that the SOMO-LUMO interaction will be important.

⁽⁴⁾ Takano, S.; Suzuki, M.; Kijima, A.; Ogasawara, K. Tetrahedron Lett. 1990, 31, 2315. Takano, S.; Suzuki, M.; Ogasawara, K. Heterocycles 1990, 31, 1151. Cladingboel, D. E.; Parsons, P. J. J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Commun. 1990, 1543. Ziegler, F. E.; Jeroncic, L. O. J. Org. Chem. 1991, 56, 3479. Beckwith, A. L. J.; Westwood, S. W. Tetrahedron 1989, 45, 5269.

⁽⁵⁾ Russell, G. A.; Wang, K. J. Org. Chem. 1991, 56, 3475.

Table I. Isolated Yields (%) and Ratios of Products from Reaction of 2a-c and 11 with Bu₃SnH (1.45 equiv) in Toluene at 80 °C

| | R | reduced | cis-endo | trans-endo | exo-spirane | endo:exo ^a (4 + 5):6 | cis:trans ^a 4:5 |
|----------|----------------|------------------------|--|--|--|---------------------------------|----------------------------|
| 2a 2b | butyl ethyl | (8a) 19.0 (8b) 12.4 | (4a) 30.0 (4b) 31.0 | (5a) 11.0 (5b) 13.0 | (6a) 9.20 (6b) 28.0 | 3.10 2.90 | 2.33 2.91 |
| 2c | methyl | (8c) 37.0 | (4c) 12.0 | (00) 2010 | (6c) 28.0 | 0.54 | >10 |
| 11 | ethyl | (14) 29.0 (15) 16.0 | (12) 17.0 | | (13) 18.0 | 0.82 | >10 |

^a Approximate ratios based on ¹H NMR spectra of the initial reaction mixtures prior to separation.



Figure 1. (a) Aliphatic region of the 500-MHz ¹H NMR spectrum of 4a in C_6D_6 at 298 K; (b) aliphatic region of the 300-MHz ¹H NMR spectrum of 4a in CDCl₃ at 326 K and C_{10b} -H (δ 3.0) and C_{4a} -H (δ 2.84) signals at 326 and 298 K.

methylenic protons as well as observation of a triplet for the vinylic proton a further 0.25 ppm downfield. The enamine solutions were used directly as attempts at isolating the enamines resulted in re-formation of the amines. Thus, an appropriate quantity of tri-n-butyltin hydride (1.45 equiv based on 1 and 2) and AIBN initiator were added to the toluene reaction mixture which was suspended at 80 °C until NMR spectroscopy in toluene indicated complete consumption of the enamine. The product amines were isolated together by an acid-base workup of the toluene mixture and separated out by chromatography. In all cases the complex mixtures contained both reduction and cyclization products derived from the enamine starting materials together with Nalkylbenzylamines 7 from reduction of the starting 2-bromobenzylamines.

Enamine 2a gave a 50% conversion to three cyclic products identified as *cis*- and *trans*-octahydrophenanthridines (4a and 5a) as well as the azaspirane (6a) (Scheme I, Table I). The stereochemistry in 4a and 5a was assigned by 500-MHz spectroscopy, NOE difference spectroscopy, COSY, TOCSY, and CH-correlated 2D experiments. The bridgehead methine protons in 4a resonate at δ 2.69 and 2.92 in deuteriobenzene (Figure 1a). An NOE was observed for the downfield proton (δ 2.92) and the lowest field aromatic doublet (δ 7.21), and these two frequencies were assigned to C_{10b}-H and C₁₀-H, respectively, enabling

complete assignment of all ¹H and ¹³C NMR resonances. At room temperature in deuteriobenzene the other bridgehead proton adjacent to nitrogen $(C_{4a}-H)$ displayed coupling constants of 3.6, 3.6, and 7.3 Hz. A similar splitting pattern was evident in deuteriochloroform at 326 K (Figure 1b). However, at room temperature in deuteriochloroform this proton was a broadened quartet with three small couplings of ca. 3.6 Hz. This is consistent with a cis-fused ring junction and the chair conformation illustrated in Figure 2a which is predicted by AM1 calculations to be 0.6 kcal mol⁻¹ lower in energy than the conformation in Figure 2b. At elevated temperatures or in deuteriobenzene, there is a rapid equilibration of the two chair conformations, and the bridgehead proton experiences both a trans-diaxial (ca. 10 Hz) and an axialequatorial coupling (3.6 Hz) with the neighboring axial proton on C₄. Similarly, the axial proton at C₁ (δ 2.2) (Figure 2a) ($J_{vic} = 7.9$ and 7.8 Hz) experiences the mean of two trans-diaxial and two axial-equatorial couplings. This proton resonates well downfield due to its average orientation near the plane of the benzene ring. The C_{10b} proton was significantly broadened due to coupling to C_8 -H and C_{10} -H as well as conformational changes.

The bridge protons at C_{4a} and C_{10b} in 5a were clearly defined triplets (two trans-diaxial couplings) of doublets (one axial-equatorial coupling) and must accord with the trans ring junction. Consequently, the C_1 equatorial



Figure 2. Stereoviews of lowest energy conformations of 4c determined by AM1 calculations.

proton (δ 2.4) lies near the plane of the benzene ring and is shifted significantly downfield relative to the C₁ axial proton (δ 1.2).

Another interesting feature in the NMR spectra of 4a and 5a is the diastereotopic nature of both the methylenic protons α to nitrogen as well as the pair β to it. A cross section of the TOCSY spectrum of 4a showed a considerable difference in the chemical shifts of both sets of protons. The azaspirane 6a on the other hand was characterized by the achiral quaternary carbon at the ring junction as well as the non diastereotopic nature of the α and β protons on the butyl side chain.

The N-ethyl-1-cyclohexenvlamine 2b gave cyclic products similar to those from 2a although significantly more of the exo product was formed. The N-methyl analogue 2c afforded the azaspirane as the major product while only the cis-endo cyclization product could be detected (Table I). All three cyclizations afforded N-cyclohexylbenzylamines 8 which are derived from the bromoenamines 2. ¹H NMR studies indicated that the enamines 2a and 2b are relatively stable in toluene in the presence of tri*n*-butyltin hydride, and reduction of the double bond must occur under radical chain conditions. ¹H NMR spectra in toluene after cyclization but prior to acid-base workup indicated the absence of significant amounts of debrominated enamine. A qualitative estimate of the relative rates of enamine and bromide reduction was made by treating N-cyclohexyl-N-ethyl-2-bromobenzylamine (9b) and N-benzyl-N-ethyl-1-cyclohexenylamine (10) with tin hydride and AIBN in toluene at 80 °C. After 75 min the benzylic singlet in **9b** at δ 3.65 was completely replaced by one at δ 3.45 corresponding to the benzylic protons of 8b. The corresponding singlet in 10 remained unchanged over the same period. Hence, with 2b at least, reduction of the enamine double bond must occur after the competition between reduction and cyclization reactions of the intermediate free radicals (3b).

Neither 2a nor 2b gave N-cyclohexyl-2-bromobenzylamines (9a and 9b) but some N-methyl-N-cyclohexyl-2bromobenzylamine (9c) was detected in the reaction mixture from 2c. Tin hydride reduction of the enamine



Figure 3. Stereo representations of (a) exo and (b) endo transition states for cyclization of 3c.

double bond appears to be competitive with bromide reduction in this case. This reaction also gave a much larger proportion of reduction product 8c.



Analysis of the relative amounts of endo- and exocyclized products indicates a significant dependence upon the nature of the N-alkyl substituent. Such a variation in endo/exo ratio is indicative that there is little difference between the activation parameters for both cyclization modes. Clearly, exo cyclization is preferable with the smaller substituents at nitrogen. The geometric requirements for intramolecular radical cyclization to the C_5 in 5-hexenyl systems are understood to induce less strain in the transition state relative to attack at the terminal carbon, and under normal circumstances, exo cyclization is kinetically more favorable than the endo mode of attack.⁶ Analysis of Dreiding models, however, indicates that in these enamine reactions exo-cyclization would require both loss of conjugation within the enamine system together with eclipsing of the N-alkyl substituent and the α -benzylic protons (Figure 3a). Endo-cyclization could, on the other hand, proceed without such destabilization (Figure 3b). In this finely balanced competition, it would appear that the size of the N-substituent is important. With the larger N-butyl group, the combination of loss of conjugation and eclipsing with the benzylic protons results in more of the

⁽⁶⁾ Beckwith, A. L. J. Tetrahedron 1981, 37, 3073. Beckwith, A. L. J.; Schiesser, C. H. Tetrahedron Lett. 1985, 26, 373. Tetrahedron 1985, 41, 3925.

Table II. Product Ratios and Rate Constants for Cyclization of 2a at 80 °C

| [SnH]/M | [endo]/[red] | [exo]/[red] | $(k_{\rm exo}/k_{\rm T}){ m M}$ | $(k_{\rm endo}/k_{\rm T})/{ m M}$ |
|---------|--------------|-------------|---------------------------------|-----------------------------------|
| 0.278 | 4.49 | 1.49 | 0.41 | 1.25 |
| 0.373 | 3.60 | 1.26 | 0.47 | 1.34 |
| 0.671 | 1.61 | 0.60 | 0.40 | 1.08 |
| 0.934 | 1.39 | 0.48 | 0.45 | 1.30 |
| 1.345 | 0.80 | 0.30 | 0.41 | 1.08 |

normally less favorable endo attack while, with an Nmethyl group, the exo mode of attack is again more competitive.

The N-alkyl substituent also has an influence upon the cis/trans ratio (Table I). Transfer of hydrogen from the bulky tin hydride in the chain-transfer step is likely to occur from the least hindered face of the radical intermediate. If this radical has conformations similar to those predicted by AM1 for the cis-endo form (Figure 2a and b), then transfer to the β -face would be sterically less demanding. With the bulkier N-butyl substituent and to a lesser extent with the N-ethyl group, however, at least some transfer to the α -face is evident.

The cyclization of **2a** was performed at 80 °C with several different concentrations of tin hydride. The ratio of cyclized products was unchanged indicating that neither cyclization is reversible under the reaction conditions. The ratios exo/reduced and endo/reduced, determined from ¹H NMR spectroscopy, are given in Table II together with estimated ratios of rate constants, $k_{\rm exo}/k_{\rm T}$ and $k_{\rm endo}/k_{\rm T}$ at 80 °C, where $k_{\rm T}$ is the rate of hydrogen transfer from tri*n*-butyltin hydride to an aryl radical.⁷ Plots of [endo]/[reduced] and [exo]/[reduced] against 1/[Bu₃SnH] gave $k_{\text{endo}} = 1.32k_{\text{T}} = 4.6 \times 10^8 \text{ s}^{-1}$, and $k_{\text{exo}} = 0.43k_{\text{T}} = 1.5 \times 10^8 \text{ s}^{-1}$ $10^8 \, {\rm s}^{-1}$ and endo-cyclization appears to be measurably faster than the corresponding exo-cyclization onto the olefinic side-chain of the 2-(3-butenyl)phenyl radical ($k_c = 0.9 k_T$ at 80°).8



Cyclization of 11 derived from N-ethyl-2-bromobenzylamine (1b) and cyclopentanone afforded products similar to those from 2 (Table I). The only endo-cyclized material detected was the cis-fused tricyclic 12. Substrate 11 gave a significant yield of partially reduced material (15) and, like the N-methyl-1-cyclohexenylamine 2c, a significantly higher proportion of fully reduced amine 14. Clearly, the enamine reduction competes favorably with bromine atom abstraction in this case. The preponderance of the cisendo cyclized product when compared to the cyclohexenylamine 2b is undoubtedly due to the puckered shape of the radical intermediate. Whether the radical center adjacent to nitrogen is sp²-hybridized or tetrahedral, models indicate that hydrogen atom transfer by tri-n-butyltin hydride to

the α -face of the molecule would be relatively hindered. accounting for the preponderance of the cis-endo configuration.

Experimental Section

Mass spectra and accurate masses were recorded on a VG Analytical ZAB-E mass spectrometer, and high-resolution spectra were obtained at a resolution of 5000.

¹H and ¹³C NMR spectra were recorded on Bruker AM500 (500 MHz), AC300P (300 MHz), and AC200 (200 MHz) spectrometers as well as on a Varian EM 390 spectrometer using TMS or CHCl₃ as internal standards.

Centrifugal chromatography was carried out on a Harrison Research Chromatotron Model 7924T.

AM1 calculations were executed on a Gould NP1 computer using MOPAC.⁹ The conformations for 4c were determined by complete optimization of all geometrical parameters. Starting geometries were based on Dreiding molecular models.

2-Bromobenzyl bromide and tri-n-butyltin hydride were obtained from Aldrich Chemical Co.

Synthesis of N-Alkyl-2-bromobenzylamines (1). N-Butyl-2-bromobenzylamine (1a). 2-Bromobenzyl bromide (1.00 g, 4 mmol) was added to n-butylamine (2.92 g, 40 mmol) in benzene (25 mL) and the mixture refluxed overnight. The reaction mixture was washed successively with excess 10% aqueous Na₂-CO₃ and with water. It was dried over sodium sulfate. Concentration afforded pure 1a (0.89 g, 3.7 mmol, 92%) as a pale yellow oil, bp 55 °C, 0.01 mm: 1H NMR (200 MHz, CDCl₃) & 0.89 $(t, CH_3), 1.30$ (septet, CH_2CH_3), 1.50 (pentet, NCH_2CH_2), 2.60 (t, NCH_2), 3.82 (s, CH_2Ar), 7.05 (t, C_4 -H), 7.22 (t, C_5 -H), 7.34 (d, C₆-H), 7.51 (d, C₃-H); ¹³C NMR (50 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 13.75 (q, CH₃), 20.19 (t, CH₂CH₃), 31.98 (t, NCH₂CH₂), 48.69 (t, NCH₂), $53.56(t, CH_2Ar), 123.63(s, C_2), 127.03(d, C_5), 128.1(d, C_4), 129.87$ (d, C₆), 132.38 (d, C₃), 139.19 (s, C₁); mass spectrum (EI) m/z(relative intensity) 242 and 244 (M*+ + 1, 100), 198 and 200 (38), 169 and 171 (50).

N-Ethyl-2-bromobenzylamine (1b). 2-Bromobenzyl bromide (5.00 g, 20 mmol) in ethanol (15 mL) was added very slowly over 3 h to a mixture of aqueous ethylamine (12.80 g, 70%) and ethanol (20 mL). The reaction mixture was concentrated to remove ethanol, diluted with water (100 mL), and extracted with chloroform $(3 \times 25 \text{ mL})$. The extracts were dried over sodium sulfate and concentrated to afford pure 1b as a pale yellow oil: ¹H NMR (200 MHz, CDCl₃)¹⁰ δ 1.14 (t, CH₃) 1.52 (s, NH), 2.67 (q, NCH₂), 3.86 (s, CH₂Ar), 7.09 (t, C₄-H), 7.27 (t, C₅-H), 7.36 (d, C_6 -H), 7.55 (d, C_3 -H); ¹³C NMR (50 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 15.11 (q, CH₃), 43.23 (t, NCH₂), 53.47 (t, CH₂Ar), 123.75 (s, C₂), 127.19 (d, C_5 , 128.31 (d, C_4), 130.05 (d, C_6), 132.55 (d, C_3), 139.13 (s, C_1).

N-Methyl-2-bromobenzylamine (1c). 2-Bromobenzyl bromide (5.00 g, 20 mmol) in ethanol (15 mL) was added very slowly to a solution of methylamine prepared from methylamine hydrochloride (13 g, 200 mmol) and sodium hydroxide (8.0 g, 200 mmol) in 40 mL of ethanol-water (50:50). The reaction mixture was concentrated to remove ethanol, diluted with water (100 mL), and extracted with dichloromethane $(3 \times 25 \text{ mL})$. The extracts were dried over sodium sulfate and concentrated to afford a mixture of mainly N-methyl-2-bromobenzylamine and some N,N-bis(2-bromobenzyl)methylamine (4.30 g). 1c distilled as a clear oil, bp 45-50 °C, 0.05 mm: ¹H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 1.67 (s, NH), 2.41 (s, CH₃), 3.78 (s, CH₂Ar), 7.08 (t, C₄-H), 7.23 (t, C₅-H), 7.34 (d, C₆-H) and 7.50 (d, C₃-H); mass spectrum (CI) m/z 200, 202 (M⁺⁺ + 1), (EI) m/z 200, 202 (M⁺⁺ + 1), 169, 171 (25), 120 (M^{+} – Br, 76), 91 (32).

N-Cyclohexyl-N-ethyl-2-bromobenzylamine (9b). 2-Bromobenzyl bromide (1.00 g, 4 mmol) in benzene (20 mL) was added to excess ethylcyclohexylamine (1.10 g, 8 mmol) in benzene (25 mL). The mixture was refluxed overnight and poured onto water (100 mL). The benzene layer was separated, dried, and concentrated to an oil (1.10 g) which distilled as pure 9b, bp 70 °C,

⁽⁷⁾ Beckwith, A. L. J. Private communication. Approximate rate constants for hydrogen atom abstraction by ortho-substituted aryl radicals have recently been determined and log $k_T = 9.6-1.7/\theta$ where $\theta = 2.3RT$ kcal mol⁻¹; at 80 °C, $k_T = 3.52 \times 10^8$ M⁻¹ s⁻¹. (8) Beckwith, A. L. J. Private communication. log $k_c = 10.8 - 3.7/\theta$

where $\theta = 2.3RT$ kcal mol⁻¹.

⁽⁹⁾ Dewar, M. J. S.; Zoebisch, E. G.; Healy, E. F.; Stewart, J. J. P. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1985, 107, 3902.

⁽¹⁰⁾ Krohn, K.; Carboo, D.; Puttfarcken, U. Liebigs Ann. Chem. 1978, 608

0.01 mm: ¹H NMR (200 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 1.00 (t, CH₃), 1.10–2.00 (m, cyclohexyl CH₂), 2.55 (overlapping q and quintet, NCH₂CH₃ and NCH) 4.37 (s, CH₂Ar), 7.05 (t, C₄-H), 7.26 (t, C₅-H), 7.50 (d, C₆-H), 7.64 (d, C₃-H); ¹³C NMR (50 MHz, CDCl₃) 14.24 (q, CH₃), 26.20, 26.42 and 29.22 (t, ring CH₂), 44.75 (t, NCH₂CH₃), 53.74 (t, CH₂Ar), 59.86 (d, CH), 123.53 (s, C₂), 126.98 (d, C₅), 127.55 (d, C₄), 130.17 (d, C₆), 132.11 (d, C₃), 140.99 (s, C₁); mass spectrum (EI) *m/z* (relative intensity) 295 and 297 (M^{*+}, 25), 280 and 282 (M^{*+} - CH₃, 21), 252 and 254 (100), 216 (M^{*+} - Br, 40), 169 and 171 (70), 91 (30); mass spectrum (high res.) 295.0947, calcd for C₁₅H₂₂BrN 295.0934.

General Procedure for Enamine Formation. N-Alkyl-2bromobenzylamine (10 mmol) and cyclic ketone (10 mmol) in toluene (75 mL) were refluxed together with anhydrous *p*-toluenesulfonic acid (0.1 g) in a two-necked round-bottomed flask fitted with a Dean-Stark water trap and a reflux condenser. The progress of the reaction was monitored by 200-MHz ¹H NMR spectroscopy in neat toluene by presaturating the toluene methyl resonance. Enamine formation was indicated by a downfield shift of the benzylic protons together with observation of a vinylic triplet. Tin hydride reductions were generally performed with enamine, amine mixtures (typically ca. 4:1).

Formation and Cyclization of N-Butyl-N-(2-bromobenzyl)-1-cyclohexenylamine (2a). N-Butyl-2-bromobenzylamine (2.26 g, 9.34 mmol), cyclohexanone (0.92 g, 9.6 mmol), and p-toluenesulfonic acid (0.07 g) were refluxed in toluene for 5 days. ¹H NMR analysis in toluene indicated an enamine/amine ratio of 3.7:1. Removal of toluene from an aliquot followed by vacuum distillation (60 °C, 0.1 mm) resulted in an enriched (6:1) mixture of the enamine and amine which was analysed by NMR: ¹H NMR (200 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 0.86 (t, CH₃), 1.10–1.80 (m), and 2.00–2.20 (m), 3.00 (t, NCH₂CH₂), 4.15 (s, CH₂Ar), 4.25 (t, NC=CH), 7.00–7.60 (m, 4 ArH); ¹³C NMR (50 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 14.01 (q, CH₃), 20.40 (t, CH₂CH₃), 22.79, 23.51, 24.63, 26.78 (t, ring CH₂), 29.66 (t, NCH₂CH₂), 49.89 (t, NCH₂CH₂), 53.47 (t, CH₂Ar), 95.94 (d, NC=CH), 132.09 (s, CCH₂), 142.24 (s, NC=CH).

Tributyltin hydride (3.54 g, 12.2 mmol) and AIBN (0.1 g) were added to 9/10 of the toluene reaction mixture which was then suspended at 80 °C for 2.5 h when ¹H NMR spectroscopy in toluene indicated complete consumption of enamine. The bases were extracted into cold dilute hydrochloric acid $(3 \times 30 \text{ mL}, 0.5$ M) which was washed with toluene $(2 \times 25 \text{ mL})$ and basified with cold dilute sodium hydroxide (0.2 M). Products were extracted into chloroform $(3 \times 25 \text{ mL})$ which was dried (Na_2SO_4) and concentrated to an oil (1.6 g). Centrifugal chromatography on silica gel with hexane/ethyl acetate gave five components as light oils which were as follows: (increasing R_i):

N-Cyclohexyl-N-butylbenzylamine (8a) (0.30 g, 19%): ¹H NMR (200 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 0.93 (t, CH₃), 1.00–1.90 (m, 14 H), 2.50 (overlapping t and m, N-CH₂ and N-CH), 3.62 (s, CH₂Ph), 7.20– 7.50 (m, 5 ArH); ¹³C NMR (50 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 14.06 (q, CH₃), 20.47 (t, CH₂CH₃), 26.24, 26.50 and 28.86 (t, ring CH₂), 30.93 (t, NCH₂CH₂), 49.83 (t, CH₂N), 54.35 (t, CH₂Ph), 59.07 (d, NCH), 126.25 (d, p-C), 127.95 and 128.27 (d, o- and m-C) 142.9 (s, *i*-C); mass spectrum (CI) m/z (relative intensity) 246 (M^{*+} + 1), (EI) m/z 245 (M^{*+}, 15), 202 (100), 91 (benzyl, 40).

N-Butylbenzo[*c*]-1-azaspiro[4.5]decane (6a) (0.15 g, 9.2%): ¹H NMR (200 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 0.95 (t, CH₃), 1.10–2.00 (m, 14 H), 2.68 (t, NCH₂), 4.00 (s, CH₂Ph), 7.10–7.30 (m, 3ArH), 7.60 (m, 1 ArH); ¹³C NMR (50 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 14.09 (q, CH₃), 20.62 (t, CH₂CH₃), 22.81, 25.67 and 31.85 (t, ring CH₂), 31.42 (t, NCH₂CH₂), 47.67 (t, NCH₂), 55.42 (t, CH₂Ar), 67.32 (s, N-C), 122.46, 123.42, 125.91 and 126.25 (d, ArC), 139.03 (s, C_{ipso}-CH₂), 149.07 (s, C_{ipso}-C); mass spectrum (CI) *m/z* (relative intensity) 244 (M⁺⁺ + 1), (EI) *m/z* 243 (M⁺⁺, 35), 200 (M⁺⁺ - Pr, 100), 185 (18), 172 (15), 145 (22).

cis-N-Butyl-1,2,3,4,4a,5,6,10b-octahydrophenanthridine (4a) (0.47 g, 30%): ¹H NMR (500 MHz, C₆D₆) δ 0.94 (t, CH₃), 1.17-1.23 (m, 1 H), 1.25-1.58 (m, 11 H), 2.22 (dtd, $J_{gem} = 13.6$, $J_{vic} = 7.91$ and 7.85, $J_{ae} = 3.6$ Hz, C₁-H_{axial}), 2.33 (ddd, $J_{gem} = 12.2$, $J_{vic} = 5.3$ and 8.5 Hz, C₁'-H), 2.6 (ddd, $J_{gem} = 12.2$, $J_{vic} = 8.5$ and 6.3 Hz, C₁'-H'), 2.69 (tdd, $J_{10b,4a} = 3.6$, $J_{4,4a} = 7.3$ and 3.7 Hz, C_{4a}-H), 2.92 (broad m, C_{10b}-H), 3.57 (d, $J_{gem} = 15.5$ Hz, C₆-H), 3.8 (d, $J_{gem} = 15.5$ Hz, C₆-H'), 7.06 (d, C₇-H), 7.09 (t, C₈-H), 7.14 (t, C₉-H), 7.21 (d, C₁₀-H); ¹³C NMR (125 MHz, CDCl₃) 14.12 (q, CH₃), 20.75 (t, CH₂CH₃), 22.90, 23.25 and 23.40 (t, ring CH₂), 29.07 (t, NCH₂CH₂), 29.86 (t, C₁), 39.49 (d, C_{10b}), 52.65 (t, C₆), 53.39 (t, NCH₂CH₂), 57.92 (d, C_{4a}), 125.25, 126.04, 126.28 and 126.67 (d, Ar-C), 135.06 (s, C_{10a}), 138.18 (s, C_{5a}); mass spectrum (CI) m/z (relative intensity) 244 (M⁺⁺ + 1), (EI) m/z 243 (M⁺⁺, 28), 242 (22), 200 (M⁺⁺ - Pr, 100), 186 (28), 144 (126), 130 (32), 115 (30), 91 (27), 77 (12); mass spectrum (high res.) 243.1992, calcd for C₁₇H₂₅N 243.1989.

trans-N-Butyl-1,2,3,4,4a,5,6,10b-octahydrophenanthridine (5a) (0.18 g, 11%): ¹Η NMR (500 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 0.94 (t, CH₃), 1.22 (qd, C₁-H_{ax}), 1.30 (sextet, CH₂CH₃), 1.28, 1.40, 1.42 $(m, C_3-H_{ax}, C_4-H_{ax}, C_2-H_{ax})$, 1.50 (m, NCH_2CH_2) , 1.85 (d of m, C_2 - H_{eq}), 1.88 (d of m, C_3 - H_{eq}), 2.7 (d of m, C_4 - H_{eq}), 2.30 (td, $J_{10b,4a}$, $J_{4ax,4a} = 10.5, J_{4eq,4a} = 3.3$ Hz, C_{4a} -H), 2.4 (d of m, C_1 -H_{eq}), 2.49 $(ddd, J_{gem} = 12.6, J_{vic} = 6.5 \text{ and } 9.5 \text{ Hz}, C_1'-\text{H}), 2.57 (br td, J_{4a,10b})$ $\begin{aligned} & (\text{dud}, y_{gem} = 12.6, y_{vic} = 0.6 \text{ and } v.6 \text{ Ta}, C_1 \text{ Ti}, 2.6 \text{ (dud}, J_{gem} = 12.6, J_{1eq,10b} = 3.3 \text{ Hz}, C_{10b}\text{-H}), 2.65 \text{ (dud}, J_{gem} = 12.6, J_{vic} = 8.5 \text{ and } 6.9 \text{ Hz}, C_1'\text{-H'}), 3.78 \text{ (d}, J_{gem} = 15.5 \text{ Hz}, C_6\text{-H}), 3.93 \text{ (d}, J_{gem} = 15.5 \text{ Hz}, C_6\text{-H'}), 7.03 \text{ (d}, C_7\text{-H)}, 7.10 \text{ (t}, C_8\text{-H)}, 7.16 \text{ (t}, \end{cases}$ C₉-H), 7.24 (d, C₁₀-H); ¹³C NMR (50 MHz, CDCl₃) 14.06 (q, CH₃), 20.81 (t, CH2CH3), 25.72 (t, C3), 26.22 (t, C2), 29.05 (t, NCH2CH2), 30.38 (t, C1), 30.85 (t, C4), 40.93 (d, C10b), 50.07 (t, NCH2CH2), 54.80 (t, C₆), 64.15 (d, C_{4a}), 125.24, 125.59, 126.12 and 126.54 (d, Ar-C), 134.57 (s, C_{10a}), 138.52 (s, C_{6a}); mass spectrum (CI) m/z(relative intensity) 244 (M⁺⁺ + 1), (EI) 243 (M⁺⁺, 20), 242 (20), 200 (M⁺⁺ - Pr, 100), 186 (8), 129 (12); mass spectrum (high res.) 243.1985, calcd for C₁₇H₂₅N 243.1989.

N-Butylbenzylamine (7a) (0.24 g, 73% based on 1a in starting material) which was identical to an authentic sample: ¹H NMR (90 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 0.90 (t, CH₃), 1.20–1.70 (overlapping sextet and pentet, CH₂CH₂), 2.75 (t, NCH₂), 3.89 (s, CH₂Ar), 7.35 (s, 5ArH); mass spectrum (EI) m/z (relative intensity) 164 (M⁺⁺, 100), 120 (40), 91 (54).

Determination of Relative Rates of Cyclization of N-Butyl-N-(2-bromobenzyl)-1-cyclohexenylamine (2a). Enamine mixture (0.93 mmol reducible bromide) was treated with tributyltin hydride (2.72 g, 9.34 mmol) and AIBN (0.1 g) and made up to 10 mL with anhydrous toluene. The reaction was suspended at 80 °C for 2.5 h. Acid-base workup gave a mixture (0.23 g) which was analyzed by 500-MHz 1H NMR in CDCl₃. Average areas for the benzylic methylenic protons were determined from four integrations from which the average ratios of products were as follows: endo/reduced = 1.39, exo/reduced = 0.48, endo/exo = 2.94, and $endo_{cis}/endo_{trans}$ = 2.28. Identical ratios of products were obtained from a control experiment in which the reaction mixture was degassed by several freeze-thaw cycles prior to heating. The reaction was repeated with different concentrations of tributyltin hydride and with a [tributyltin hydride]: [2a] ratio of at least 10:1. The product ratios were determined in each case by ¹H NMR spectroscopy and are given in Table II.

Formation and Cyclization of N-Ethyl-N-(2-bromobenzyl)-1-cyclohexenylamine (2b). N-Ethyl-2-bromobenzylamine (2.00 g, 9.34 mmol), cyclohexanone (0.92 g, 9.6 mmol), and p-toluenesulfonic acid (0.06 g) were refluxed in toluene (75 mL) for 3 days. ¹H NMR analysis in toluene indicated an enamine/ amine ratio of 3.2:1. Tributyltin hydride (3.93 g, 13.5 mmol) and AIBN (0.11 g) were added to the reaction mixture which was then suspended at 80 °C for 2.5 h when ¹H NMR in toluene indicated complete consumption of enamine. Acid-base workup yielded an oil (2.18 g) of which a portion (0.60 g) was separated on a Chromatotron apparatus (silica gel, 2-mm plate, with hexane/ ethyl acetate) into five components as light oils which were as follows (increasing R_i):

N-Benzyl-N-cyclohexylethylamine (8b) (0.05 g, 12.4%): ¹H NMR (200 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 0.97 (t, CH₃), 1.00–1.90 (m, 14 H), 2.52 (overlapping q and m, NCH₂ and NCH), 3.60 (s, CH₂Ph), 7.20–7.40 (m ArH); ¹³C NMR (50 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 14.02 (q, CH₃), 26.23, 26.51 and 29.09 (t, ring CH₂), 44.08 (t, CH₂N), 53.87 (t, CH₂Ph), 59.08 (d, NCH), 126.30 (d, *p*-C), 127.99 and 128.31 (d, *o*- and *m*-C) 143 (s, *i*-C); mass spectrum (EI) *m/z* (relative intensity) 217 (M⁺⁺, 32), 202 (12), 174 (100), 91 (92, benzyl); mass spectrum (high res.) 217.1841, calcd for C₁₅H₂₃N 217.1830.

N-Ethylbenzo[*c*]-1-azaspiro[4.5]decane (6b) (0.36 g, 28%): ¹H NMR (200 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 1.18 (t, CH₃), 1.60–1.90 (m, 10H), 2.72 (q, NCH₂), 3.97 (s, CH₂Ph), 7.10–7.30 (m, 3 ArH), 7.56 (m, 1 ArH); ¹³C NMR (50 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 14.82 (q, CH₃), 22.83, 25.70 and 31.80 (t, ring CH₂), 41.79 (t, NCH₂), 54.95 (t, CH₂Ar),

67.30 (s, N-C), 122.50, 123.44, 125.95 and 126.25 (d, ArC), 138.95 (s, C_{ipso} -CH₂), 149.10 (s, C_{ipso} -C); mass spectrum (CI) m/z (relative intensity) 215 (M⁺⁺, 25), 186 (18), 172 (100), 159 (27); mass spectrum (high res.) 215.1678, calcd for $C_{15}H_{21}N$ 215.1674.

cis-N-Ethyl-1,2,3,4,4a,5,6,10b-octahydrophenanthridine (4b) (0.13 g, 31%): ¹H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 1.15 (t, CH₃), 1.30–1.42 (m, 3 H), 1.57–1.66 (m, 4 H), 2.22 (m, C₁-H_{axial}), 2.60 (qd, $J_{gem} = 12.5$, $J_{vic} = 7.1$ Hz, C_1' -H), 2.76 (qd, $J_{gem} = 12.5$, $J_{vic} = 7.1$ Hz, C_1' -H'), 2.86 (tdd, $J_{10b,4a} = 4.2$, $J_{4,4a} = 6.8$ and 4.2 Hz, C_{4a} -H), 2.98 (broad m, C_{10b} -H), 3.69 (d, $J_{gem} = 15.6$ Hz, C_6 -H), 3.78 (d, $J_{gem} = 15.6$ Hz, C_6 -H'), 7.00 (d, C_7 -H), 7.09 (t, C_8 -H), 7.18 (t, C_9 -H), 7.20 (d, C_{10} -H); ¹³C NMR (50 MHz, CDCl₃) 11.87 (q, CH₃), 23.6 (3 × t, ring CH₂), 29.92 (t, C_1), 39.49 (d, C_{10b}), 47.17 (t, NCH₂CH₃), 52.15 (t, C_6), 57.35 (d, C_{4a}), 125.27, 126.06, 126.31 and 126.69 (d, Ar-C), 134.92 (s, C_{10a}), 138.50 (s, C_{6a}); mass spectrum (EI) m/z (relative intensity) 215 (M⁺⁺, 58), 214 (48), 200 (M⁺⁺ – Me, 8), 172 (100), 186 (28), 158 (20); mass spectrum (high res.) 215.1685, calcd for $C_{15}H_{21}N$ 215.1674.

trans-N-Ethyl-1,2,3,4,4a,5,6,10b-octahydrophenanthridine (5b) (0.05 g, 13%): ¹H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 1.10 (t, CH₃), 1.22 (qd, J_{gem} , $J_{10b,1a}$, $J_{2ax,1ax} = 12.4$, $J_{2eq,1ax} = 3.3$ Hz, C₁-H_{ax}), 1.28–1.45 (m, C₃-H_{ax}, C₄-H_{ax}, C₂-H_{ax}), 1.86 (d of m, C₂-H_{eq}), 1.88 (d of m, C₃-H_{eq}), 2.07 (d of m, C₄-H_{eq}), 2.28 (td, $J_{10b,4a}$, $J_{4ax,4a} = 10.5$, $J_{4eq,4a} = 3.2$ Hz, C_{4a}-H), 2.42 (d of m, C₁-H_{eq}), 2.57 (br td, $J_{4a,10b}$, $J_{1ax,10b} = 10.5$, $J_{1eq,10b} = 3.2$ Hz, C_{10b}-H), 2.60 (qd, $J_{gem} = 12.8$, $J_{vic} = 7.2$ Hz, C₁'-H), 2.78 (qd, $J_{gem} = 12.8$, $J_{vic} = 7.2$ Hz, C₁'-H), 7.11 (t, C₈-H), 7.16 (t, C₉-H), 7.02 (d, C₇-H), 7.11 (t, C₈-H), 7.16 (t, C₉-H), 7.26 (d, C₁₀-H); ¹³C NMR (50 MHz, CDCl₃) 11.54 (q, CH₃), 25.63 (t, C₃), 26.13 (t, C₂), 30.30 (t, C₁), 30.60 (t, C₄), 41.26 (d, C_{10b}), 44.2 (NCH₂CH₃), 53.95 (t, C₆), 63.87 (d, C_{4a}), 125.18, 125.56, 126.11 and 126.45 (d, Ar-C), 134.39 (s, C_{10a}), 138.33 (s, C_{6a}); mass spectrum (EI) m/z (relative intensity) 215 (M⁺⁺, 50), 214 (50), 200 (15, M⁺⁺ - CH₃), 172 (100), 158 (20), 146 (10); mass spectrum (high res.) 215.1685, calcd for C₁₅H₂₁N 215.1674.

Formation and Cyclization of N-Methyl-N-(2-bromobenzyl)-1-cyclohexenylamine (2c). N-Methyl-2-bromobenzylamine (1.10 g, 5.5 mmol), cyclohexanone (0.54 g, 5.5 mmol), and p-toluenesulfonic acid (0.10 g) were refluxed in toluene (50 mL) for 3 days. ¹H NMR analysis in toluene indicated an enamine/amine ratio of 7:1. Tributyltin hydride (2.09 g, 7.18 mmol) and AIBN (0.1 g) were added to 9/10 of the reaction mixture. Immediate NMR analysis in toluene indicated an enamine/amine ratio of 50:50. The reduction mixture was suspended at 80 °C for 3 h when ¹H NMR spectroscopy in toluene indicated complete consumption of enamine. Acid-base workup yielded an oil (0.76 g) which was separated on a Chromatotron apparatus (silica gel with hexane/ethyl acetate) into four components, each as a light oil. The fraction with the lowest $R_f(0.13)$ g) was a mixture of N-cyclohexyl-N-methyl-2-bromobenzylamine (9c) and N-benzyl-N-cyclohexylmethylamine (8c) in an approximate ratio of 1:1. Subsequent fractions were as follows, in increasing R_i :

N-Benzyl-N-cyclohexylmethylamine (8c) (88 mg, 37% [with estimate from first fraction]): ¹H NMR (200 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 1.00–1.90 (m, 10 H), 2.20 (s, NCH₃), 2.40 (m, *N*-CH), 3.52 (s, CH₂Ph), 7.20–7.40 (m, ArH); ¹³C NMR (50 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 25.94, 26.36, and 28.61 (t, ring CH₂), 37.57 (q, CH₃N), 57.74 (t, CH₂Ph), 62.43 (d, NCH), 126.52 (d, *p*-C), 128.03 and 128.65 (d, *o*- and *m*-C) 140.33 (s, *i*-C); mass spectrum (EI) *m/z* (relative intensity) 203 (M^{*+}, 30), 160 (100), 146 (14), 91 (benzyl, 40); mass spectrum (high res.) 203.1682, calcd for C₁₄H₂₁N 203.1674.

cis-N-Methyl-1,2,3,4,4a,5,6,10b-octahydrophenanthridine (4c) (59 mg, 12%): ¹H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 1.39– 1.68 (m, 6 H), 1.96 (m, 2 H), 2.38 (s, NCH₃), 2.54 (tdd, $J_{4,4a} = 5.3$ and 3.3, $J_{10b,4a} = 3.3$ Hz, C_{4a} -H), 2.77 (broad m, C_{10b} -H), 3.47 (d, $J_{gem} = 15.3$ Hz, C_6 -H), 3.89 (d, $J_{gem} = 15.3$ Hz, C_6 -H'), 6.99 (d, C_7 -H), 7.07–7.13 (m, C_8 , C_9 , C_{10} -H); ¹³C NMR (50 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 21.08, 25.39, 26.52, 31.48 (4 × t, ring CH₂), 40.86 (d, C_{10b}), 41.73 (t, NCH₃), 58.14 (t, C_6), 59.57 (d, C_{4a}), 125.37, 125.88, 125.99 and 127.40 (d, Ar-C), 134.27 (s, C_{10a}), 139.76 (s, C_{6a}); mass spectrum (EI) m/z (relative intensity) 201 (M^{*+}, 45), 200 (42), 158 (100), 144 (36), 91 (15); mass spectrum (high res.) 201.1519, calcd for $C_{14}H_{19}N$ 201.1517.

N-Methylbenzo[c]-1-azaspiro[4.5]decane (6c) (0.14 g, 28%): ¹H NMR (200 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 1.50–1.90 (m, 10 H), 2.47

(s, NCH₃), 3.97 (s, CH₂Ph), 7.10–7.30 (m, 3 H, ArH), 7.53 (m, 1 ArH); 13 C NMR (50 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 22.72, 25.65 and 31.70 (t, ring CH₂), 34.76 (q, CH₃), 58.22 (t, CH₂Ar), 66.78 (s, N-C), 122.33, 123.25, 125.98 and 126.28 (d, ArC), 139.14 (s, *i*-C-CH₂), 148.99 (s, *i*-C-C); mass spectrum (EI) m/z (relative intensity) 201 (M⁺⁺, 18), 158 (100), 144 (25), 84 (30); mass spectrum (high res.) 201.1523, calcd for C₁₄H₁₉N 201.1517.

Formation and Cyclization of N-Ethyl-N-(2-bromobenzyl)-1-cyclopentenylamine (11). N-Ethyl-2-bromobenzylamine (3.00 g, 14.7 mmol), cyclopentanone (1.26 g, 14.7 mmol), and p-toluenesulfonic acid (0.10 g) were refluxed in toluene (70 mL) for 2 days. ¹H NMR analysis in toluene indicated an enamine/amine ratio of 16:1. Tributyltin hydride (3.39 g, 1.62 mmol) and AIBN (0.1 g) were added to 0.56 of the total reaction mixture after which the enamine/amine ratio was 3:1. After the mixture was heated at 80 °C for 4 h, ¹H NMR spectroscopy in toluene indicated complete consumption of enamine and acidbase workup yielded an oil (1.46 g) which was separated on a Chromatotron apparatus (silica gel with hexane/ethyl acetate) into five components as light oils which were as follows (increasing R_f):

N-Cyclopentyl-N-ethyl-2-bromobenzylamine (15) (0.27 g, 16%): ¹H NMR (200 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 0.97 (t, CH₃), 1.40–1.70 (m, 8 H), 2.60 (q, N-CH₂), 3.13 (quintet, N-CH), 3.67 (s, CH₂Ph), 7.04 (t, C₄-H), 7.25 (t, C₅-H), 7.45 (d, C₆-H), 7.65 (d, C₃-H); ¹³C NMR (50 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 11.76 (q, CH₃), 24.16 and 29.58 (t, ring CH₂), 46.12 (t, CH₂N), 54.78 (t, CH₂Ph), 63.50 (d, NCH), 123.51 (s, C₂), 127.03 (d, C₅), 127.68 (d, C₄), 130.50 (d, C₆), 132.20 (d, C₃), 140.53 (s, C₁); mass spectrum (EI) *m/z* (relative intensity) 281 and 283 (M⁺⁺, 22), 266 and 268 (M⁺⁺ – CH₃, 42), 202 (M⁺⁺ – Br, 35), 169 and 171 (*o*-Br benzyl, 100), 112 (34), 84 (65); mass spectrum (high res.) 281.0785 calcd for C₁₄H₂₀BrN 281.0779.

N-Benzyl-N-cyclopentylethylamine (14) (0.35 g, 29%): ¹H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 0.98 (t, CH₃), 1.40–1.60 (m, 4 H), 1.60–1.73 (m, 2 H), 1.75–1.85 (m, 2 H), 2.57 (q, N-CH₂), 3.07 (quintet, N-CH), 3.62 (s, CH₂Ph), 7.20 (t, p-H), 7.28 (t, m-H), 7.34 (d, o-H); ¹³C NMR (50 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 10.94 (q, CH₃), 24.22 and 29.77 (t, ring CH₂), 44.72 (t, CH₂N), 55.06 (t, CH₂Ph), 62.88 (d, NCH), 126.53 (d, p-C), 128.05 and 128.75 (d, o- and m-C) 140.65 (s, *i*-C); mass spectrum (EI) m/z (relative intensity) 203 (M⁺⁺, 20), 188 (M⁺⁺ – CH₃, 28), 174 (50), 146 (10), 112 (10), 91 (benzyl, 100); mass spectrum (high res.) 203.1693, calcd for C₁₄H₂₁N 203.1674.

N-Ethylbenzo[*c*]-1-azaspiro[4.4]nonane (13) (0.22 g, 18%): ¹H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 1.21 (t, CH₃), 1.70 (m, 2 H), 1.78 (m, 4 H), 2.03 (m, 2 H), 2.72 (q, NCH₂), 3.90 (s, CH₂Ph), 7.10–7.30 (m, 4 ArH); ¹³C NMR (50 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 14.54 (q, CH₃), 25.72 and 34.35 (t, ring CH₂), 41.65 (t, NCH₂), 55.64 (t, CH₂Ar), 76.19 (s, N-C), 120.70, 121.89, 126.02 and 126.83 (d, ArC), 137.75 (s, *i*-C-CH₂), 151.43 (s, *i*-C-C); mass spectrum (EI) *m/z* (relative intensity) 201 (M⁺⁺, 25), 186 (7), 172 (100), 160 (29), 158 (37), 144 (13), 91 (12); mass spectrum (high res.) 201.1530, calcd for C₁₄H₁₉N 201.1517.

cis-N-Ethyl-4-aza-2,3,3a,4,5,9b-hexahydrobenz[e]indene (12) (0.21 g, 17%): ¹H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 1.13 (t, CH₃), 1.53 (m, C₂-H), 1.64 (m, C2-H'), 1.64–1.75 (m, C₁-H, C₃-H), 1.85 (m, C₃-H'), 2.17 (m, C₁-H'), 2.42 (qd, $J_{gem} = 12.6, J_{vic} = 7.2$ Hz, C₁'-H), 2.77 (qd, $J_{gem} = 12.6, J_{vic} = 7.1$ Hz, C₁'-H'), 3.14 (ddd, $J_{9b,3a} = 6.8, J_{1,9b} = 7$ and 7 Hz, C_{9b}-H), 3.17 (ddd, $J_{3a,9b} = 6.8, J_{3,3a} = 6.8$ and 6.8 Hz, C_{3a}-H), 3.41 (d, $J_{gem} = 14.7$ Hz, C₅-H), 3.76 (d, $J_{gem} = 14.7$ Hz, C₅-H'), 7.03 (d, ArH), 7.07 (t, ArH), 7.15 (m, 2 × ArH); ¹³C NMR (50 MHz, CDCl₃) 11.79 (q, CH₃), 23.75, 28.33, 33.72 (3 × t, ring CH₂), 41.38 (d, C_{9b}), 48.37 (t, NCH₂CH₃), 51.67 (t, C₆), 62.44 (d, C_{3a}), 125.04, 126.08, 126.49 and 127.95 (d, Ar-C), 134.42 (s, C_{9a}), 138.50 (s, C_{5a}); mass spectrum (EI) *m/z* (relative intensity) 201 (M⁺⁺, 68), 200 (66), 186 (28), 172 (M⁺⁺ - Et, 100), 158 (72); mass spectrum (high res.) 201.1530, calcd for C₁₄H₁₉N 201.1517.

Reduction of N-Benzyl-N-ethyl-1-cyclohexenylamine (10) and N-Cyclohexyl-N-ethyl-2-bromobenzylamine (9b). N-Ethylbenzylamine (1.68 g, 13.9 mmol), cyclohexanone (1.36 g, 13.9 mmol), and p-toluenesulfonic acid (0.10 g) were refluxed in toluene (15 mL) for 5 days. ¹H NMR analysis in toluene indicated an enamine/amine ratio of 5.6:1. A portion of this enamine mixture (1.5 mL, 1.4 mmol) was added to a solution of N-cyclohexyl-N-ethyl-2-bromobenzylamine (0.44 g, 1.48 mmol), tri-

Aryl Radical Cyclizations

butyltin hydride (0.43 g, 1.48 mmol), and AIBN (0.03 g), in dry toluene (2 mL). The mixture (under nitrogen) was heated at 80 °C for 2 h and analyzed at various intervals by ¹H NMR spectroscopy in toluene employing presaturation of the toluene methyl resonance. After 75 min the resonance at δ 3.65 due to the benzylic protons of **9b** were replaced by those of the *N*-cyclohexyl-*N*-ethylbenzylamine (**8b**) at δ 3.45. The enamine resonance at δ 3.8 remained unaltered during this time.

Acknowledgment. We are grateful to Dr. Don Hughes for running TOCSY spectra and to NSERC for financial assistance including an International Scientific Exchange Award.

Supplementary Material Available: ¹H NMR spectra for compounds 1a, 1c, 9b, 2a, 8a, 6a, 5a, 7a, 8b, 6b, 4b, 5b, 8c, 4c, 6c, 15, 14, 13, and 12, ¹³C NMR spectra for compounds 1a, 2a, 6a, 6b, 8c, 6c, and 15, COSY spectra for 4a and 5a, and TOCSY spectrum for 4a (23 pages). This material is contained in libraries on microfiche, immediately follows this article in the microfilm version of the journal, and can be ordered from the ACS; see any current masthead page for ordering information.